



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,436	07/31/2003	Sambit Kumar Dash	07844-601001	4545
21876	7590	08/25/2008	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. P.O. Box 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			HARRISON, CHANTE E	
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2628				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/25/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Application No. 10/633,436	Applicant(s) DASH, SAMBIT KUMAR
	Examiner CHANTE HARRISON	Art Unit 2628

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —

THE REPLY FILED 07 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) The period for reply expires ____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because

- (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
- (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
- (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
- (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____

Claim(s) objected to: _____

Claim(s) rejected: _____

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fail to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
See Continuation Sheet

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____

13. Other: _____

/Chante Harrison/
Examiner, Art Unit 2628

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues Yanagisawa fails to teach overlap of tracking zones. Yanagisawa teaches an erasure area the size of an input point (col. 4, ll. 20-25). Yanagisawa discloses upon detecting an erase mode, a sampling point to be erased is identified by determining the input points affected by motion of the pen input (col. 4, ll. 32-41, 52-59), where fast pen motion may increase the size of the erasure area (col. 5, ll. 20-22, 29-31) when the deletion of multiple points is desired. When pen motion increases the size of the erasure area neighboring input points that are affected by the change in size of the initial input point erasure area are identified and erased (col. 5, ll. 5-14). Yanagisawa teaches during erasure the erasure areas of input points are coupled. Thus, Yanagisawa's coupling of an enlarged erasure area of an input point with a neighboring input point erasure area suggests overlap of tracking zones as the contact between neighboring erasure areas indicates the effect of deletion at the point. Applicant argues a response to the arguments was not found in the Final Rejection. Examiner notes the response to arguments with respect to Applicant's example referencing claim 6, similarly correspond to those of claim 1. Additionally, the claim elements were addressed in the rejection, which Applicant's arguments do not overcome.

Applicant argues Yanagisawa does not teach specifying a tracking zone insides the influence region of the location and outside the influence region of the previous location. Yanagisawa teaches an input point having an erasure area of a particular shape. Yanagisawa teaches upon detection of erasure mode tracking pen motion input, such that slow pen motion input sets the erasure area at an input point smaller to erase a fine and narrow input point without erasing a neighboring point (col. 5, ll. 20-30). Thus, Yanagisawa's erasing a point having a smaller erasure area without erasing a neighboring point teaches a tracking zone that is inside the influence region of one location and outside the influence region of a previous location.